

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/608,685 | 06/27/2003 | Brandon Burrell | 60046.0055USU1 | 8303 |
| 53377 HOPE BALDA | 7590 10/11/200 LUFF HARTMAN, LLO | | EXAMINER . | |
| 1720 PEACHTREE STREET, N.W SUITE 1010 | | | NGUYEN, LE V | |
| ATLANTA, G | A 30309 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2174 | • |
| | | | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/11/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/608,685 | BURRELL, BRANDON | BURRELL, BRANDON | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Le Nguyen | 2174 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/000,000 | BOTTILLE, BIOTINE | 314 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Le Nguyen | 2174 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examin | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance of CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | (I). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | 36(a) and the appropriate | e extension fee |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.130(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ite extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since a |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NOTow); | ΓE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | • | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 116 and 41.33(a)). | | DTOL 224) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | li Ilouable if submitted in a separate i | timely filed amendmen | at canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | • | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: | ixi not be entered, or b) i wii vided below or appended. | i be entered and an e | xpianation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | · |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is | be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ea. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | /Steven P. Sax/ Steven P. Sax | |
| | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new issues being the addition of "BIO-readable" as a precursor to the phrase "escape code" wherever "escape code" is mentioned, which include claims 11-4 and 15-18; and, during power on self-test (POST) or a BIOS SETUP, receiving a request from the BIOS to display a string, the string including a text to be displayed by the BIOS and an escape code specifying the format in which the test is to be displayed by the BIOS, receiving the string from the BIOS, parsing the string to determine if the string includes the escape code, and upon determining that the string includes the escape code, displaying the test in the format specified by the escape code during the POST or the BIOS SETUP as recited in independent claims 9 and 12...